Contrasted seismogenic and rheological behaviours from shallow and deep earthquake sequences in the North Tanzanian Divergence, East Africa
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1. Introduction

Earthquake sequences are common features in many active rift settings. The space and time distribution of earthquakes are widely used to highlight the mechanisms that trigger them and to discuss which processes may explain their occurrences. Whereas the type of sequence is generally easily determined and often referred as swarms, aftershocks, clusters or bursts (e.g., Mogi, 1963; Sherburn, 1992; Benoit and McNutt, 1996; Vidale and Shearer, 2006; Ibs-von Seht et al., 2008; Parsons, 2008), determining their cause is not straightforward. The spatial and temporal variability of seismic deformation and its relationship with aseismic processes such as magma injections, slow slip, static or dynamic stress triggering are generally poorly understood owing to the lack of accuracy on spatial and temporal evolution of deformation and on the rheological setting. An open question is also whether and how long earthquake clustering is expected to persist, with important consequences on seismic hazard forecasting.

Continental rifts are known to provide evidence since long for various types of earthquake sequences, either as mainshock–aftershock sequences or as swarms. From earthquake series captured in various modern rift systems (Rio Grande, Kenya and Eger), Ibs-von Seht et al. (2008) have recently suggested that swarm activity is mostly restricted to rift valleys at shallow depth and are influenced by large-scale fracture or shear zones intersecting the rifts, which are assumed to favour intrusions of upper mantle into the crust. A similar pattern is reported in the Baikal rift, but some “swarm-like” sequences identified there depict even much longer time series (several years) and develop off the main rift axis and at depth as large as 23 km (Déverchère et al., 1993; Solonenko et al., 1997). In 2007, a French–Tanzanian seismic experiment (SEISMO-TANZ’ 07) took place from June 1st to November 20, in the North Tanzanian Divergence, East Africa. J. Afr. Earth Sci. (2009), doi:10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2009.09.005
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Tanzanian rift, at the southern end of the eastern branch of the East African Rift System (EARS) (Fig. 1A). This branch depicts a general, large scale southerly-propagating rift pattern (Le Gall et al., 2008, and references therein). At about 2.5\%, the main axial valley splits into several zones of deformation of different strikes, forming the so-called North Tanzanian Divergence (NTD) (Dawson, 1992). Fortunately, the SEISMO-TANZ' 07 temporal seismic network has captured simultaneously two earthquake sequences, called hereafter Gelai and Manyara, located, respectively, in the volcanic area of the Natron Valley and at the contact of the Tanzanian Archaean craton near Lake Manyara (Fig. 1A). The aim of this study is to take advantage of this exceptional opportunity to contrast deformation mechanisms and rheological settings during synchronous, yet spatially discrete magma-rich and magma-poor continental rifting episodes. We intend to describe the main spatial and temporal patterns of these two sequences, to underline their specificities, similarities and differences, and to compare them to other sequences captured in continental rifts in order to infer rheological implications and possible triggering mechanisms.

2. Geological and seismological settings

2.1. Tectono-magmatic framework

The Gelai and Manyara sequences take place at the southern end of the southerly-propagating eastern branch of the EARS (Fig. 1A) where faulting and magmatism initiated at around 15 Ma (Baker and Mitchell, 1976). They occur in two contrasted rift settings, resulting from the splay of the axial rift valley southwards into the 400 km-wide NTD (Dawson, 1992):

(1) To the north, the Gelai earthquakes lie within the Natron axial trough which forms a 50- to 60-km wide westerly-facing half-graben, slightly offset to the SW with respect to the Magadi linear N–S rift valley in south Kenya (Fig. 1A). There, strain history over the last 15 Myr is marked by repeated episodes of extensional faulting and magmatism (Cerling and Powers, 1977; Crossley and Knight, 1981), producing a limited extension (<15–20%) and little crustal thinning, esti-
The NTD marks a sharp transition between the magmatic and amagmatic rifts, characterized by the Neogene E-W transverse volcanic chain extending over more than 200 km from the Ngorongoro to the Kilimanjaro volcanoes (Nonnotte et al., 2008, Fig. 1A). In contrast with the Magadi–Natron magmatic rift and the Kilimanjaro–Ngorongoro transverse volcanic belt, the NTD is devoid of synrift volcanism, except the discrete Hanang (1.5–0.9 Ma) and Kwaraha (1.5–0.7 Ma) volcanoes on the eastern edge of the Mbulu faulted domain (Bagdasaryan et al., 1973, Fig. 1). The Mbulu rift arm continues the Magadi–Natron axial trough approximately 150 km to the S–W, but with striking changes in structural style. These variations typify a nearly 100 km-wide oblique zone of diffuse strain, dominated by the SE- and E-facing Eyasi and Manyara bounding faults, respectively. The diamond-shaped extensional fault block pattern in the Mbulu rift arm crosses the boundary between the Archaean craton and younger Proterozoic mobile belts (Fig. 1), cutting at high angle through strong NE-SW-trending magmatic dykes and ductile Archaean fabrics (Barth, 1990). According to aeromagnetic modelling, further supported by previous field observations in Kenya (Shackleton, 1993), the eastern edge of the Tanzanian craton should extend at depth, ca. 50 km further east of the exposed contact, beneath a shallow wedge of westernly-directed metamorphic thrust-nappes (Ebinger et al., 1997). The overall structure of the Proterozoic thin-skinned pile is tentatively depicted on a 2D-cross-section as a thrust-nappe system steepening and rooting at depth, below Lake Manyara, along a narrow suture zone squeezed between the buried edge of the Tanzanian craton and the N–S margin of the Masai plateau (Fig. 1B). The Archaean origin of the latter is deduced from its amagmatic and aseismic nature, in addition to its unerupted topography and NE-SW Archaean-type magnetic fabrics (Ebinger et al., 1997).

2.2. Seismological setting

The NTD was for the first time seismically monitored at local scale with the SEISMO-TANZ experiment. A general view on the overall seismic activity is provided by global catalogues, as the updated EHB catalogue which shows the location of earthquakes since 1965 spreading over the Kenya rift and the NTD (Engdahl et al., 1998, M ≥ 4.3, black dots, Fig. 2). In southern Kenya, a permanent seismic array deployed since 1990 has recorded 2000 events between October 1993 and August 1996 with local magnitudes reaching 5 (Hollnack and Stangl, 1998, blue dots, Fig. 2). A dense seismic survey of the Lake Magadi area, north of Lake Natron, allowed to locate more than 300 events between November 1997 and June 1998 (Ibs-von Seht et al., 2001, green dots, Fig. 2). Finally, Nyblade et al. (1996) have installed a regional-scale network of 20 stations from June 1994 to May 1995 across the Proterozoic Belts and the Tanzanian craton, allowing to locate about 2000 events in North Tanzania (pink dots, Fig. 2). The completeness level of these data is of magnitude (Ml) 2–2.5 (Langston et al., 1998).

From these catalogues available before June 2007, it is worth to note the clear tendency of earthquakes to cluster around Lake Magadi, occurring at ca. 5 km beneath the axial valley (Prodehl et al., 1994; Last et al., 1997). During the last 1 Ma, a dense extensional fault grid cuts through the 1.6–0.8 Ma-old Magadi trachybasalts on the shallow flexural side of the half-graben to the east (Crossley, 1979). The Gelai seismic sequence occurs on the southern edge of the 1.0 Ma-old Gelai volcano (Evans et al., 1971), i.e. immediately south of the axial grid fault pattern, in a subdued area where the basaltic rift floor is buried by recent deposits.

(2) To the south, the Manyara earthquakes outline the southern shoreline of Lake Manyara (Fig. 1A). The majority of the events are 5 km east of the Manyara east-facing master fault which bounds to the east the so-called Mbulu oblique rifted domain. The latter and the Pangeri rift branch on the eastern side of the Masai plateau form the western and eastern arms of the NTD, respectively (Le Gall et al., 2008).

The overall seismic activity is provided by global catalogues, as the updated EHB catalogue which shows the location of earthquakes since 1965 spreading over the Kenya rift and the NTD (Engdahl et al., 1998, M ≥ 4.3, black dots, Fig. 2). In southern Kenya, a permanent seismic array deployed since 1990 has recorded 2000 events between October 1993 and August 1996 with local magnitudes reaching 5 (Hollnack and Stangl, 1998, blue dots, Fig. 2). A dense seismic survey of the Lake Magadi area, north of Lake Natron, allowed to locate more than 300 events between November 1997 and June 1998 (Ibs-von Seht et al., 2001, green dots, Fig. 2). Finally, Nyblade et al. (1996) have installed a regional-scale network of 20 stations from June 1994 to May 1995 across the Proterozoic Belts and the Tanzanian craton, allowing to locate about 2000 events in North Tanzania (pink dots, Fig. 2). The completeness level of these data is of magnitude (Ml) 2–2.5 (Langston et al., 1998).

From these catalogues available before June 2007, it is worth to note the clear tendency of earthquakes to cluster around Lake Magadi.

---

Fig. 2. Map of the North Tanzanian Divergence showing topography, major faults and seismicity from different catalogues (circles with a colour code) available before June 2007, beginning of the SEISMO-TANZ’ 07 experiment. The diameter of the circles is proportional to the magnitude of the events.
adi and southern Lake Manyara, whereas seismicity remains apparently rather sparse, low magnitude or spread over the other parts of the NTD and the southern Kenya rift (Fig. 2). Especially, no seismic activity was identified in the vicinity of the Gelai and Lengai volcanoes in this period of time. However, one has to be aware of the large heterogeneities of these catalogues, either in magnitude range or in space and time coverage. Furthermore, remaining uncertainties on hypocenters from regional catalogues (Nyblade et al., 1996; Hollnack and Stangl, 1998) also limit the availability of connections with known faults at the surface and of shape aspects of earthquakes sequences.

3. The SEISMO-TANZ’ 07 experiment

3.1. The seismic network

The SEISMO-TANZ’ 07 experiment is a French–Tanzanian collaboration, launched in 2007, consisting in the deployment of a local seismological network in North Tanzania for 6 months. The equipment came from the French national pool Lithoscope and French laboratories (Geoazur, Nice; Domaines Océaniques, Brest).

It was launched in February 2007 with a site recognition and followed in June by the installation of 35 stations (Fig. 1A). In August, we did a maintenance and retrieval data mission during which the station KWSI was moved to GLAI and a new one, NOON, was installed. Indeed, the KWSI site was damaged, so no more safe, and we wanted to extend the network to the Natron region, affected by a Mw 5.9 earthquake in July, without changing completely its configuration. At the end of November, most of the stations were removed and some of them moved to the North from which data are not studied here.

The equipment is composed of continuous digital recording systems with GPS time control (TITAN, mini-TITAN and OSIRIS). The sample rate was 125 Hz everywhere but at station MOSH where it was 62.5 Hz. This site is located at the extreme eastern side of the region where only teleseismic observations were expected. Different sensors were used: 2 short period (L4C–1 Hz and L22–2 Hz), 20 enlarged bands (Noemax (20 s–50 Hz) and Lennart2 Le3D (5 s–50 Hz)) and 13 broadband (Güralp CMG 40T (60 s–50 Hz) and Güralp CMG 3T (120 s–50 Hz)). The power was generally supplied by solar panels charging batteries. Five stations (ARSH, BUKU, LESI, OLOKO, SELE) were out of order during nearly all the period, and a lot of data were not recovered from others because of different problems (robbery, weather, animals, hard disk).

However, it is more than 200 Gb of data we recovered and processed for this temporary experiment.

The network configuration was designed to study the seismo-tectonics of the two most seismic active ending branches of the NTD and to characterize the crustal structure at a larger scale, beyond the E–W volcanic belt to the south (Figs. 1 and 2). The majority of the stations were then deployed around the Eyasi and Manyara escarpments with a mean spacing of 20 km and generally greater (30–40 km) around the Meru and Kilimanjaro volcanoes (Fig. 1A).

3.2. Data processing

We first ran a STA/LTA (short term average/long-term average) trigger algorithm on the continuous recording raw data corrected from the time drift of the internal clock. Events were converted to the SEISAN software format, used to pick P- and S-wave arrivals and evaluate the signal duration (Havskov and Ottemöller, 2008).

Duration magnitude was calculated with the Lee et al. (1972) coefficients, valid for $M_s \leq 3.5$. The largest magnitudes reported come from the NEIC catalogue. The preliminary earthquake location and magnitude determination were performed with the location program HYPOCENTER (Lienert and Havskov, 1995). We used a simple velocity model derived from previous studies in the area, including seismic refractions in south Kenya (KRISP, 1991; Birt et al., 1997; Prodehl et al., 1997), receiver function analysis (Last et al., 1997; Julia et al., 2005) and $P_n$ travel time inversion (Brazier et al., 2000; Nyblade and Brazier, 2002). It consists of three crustal layers with $V_p = 5.9$ km s$^{-1}$ from 0 to 14 km depth, $V_p = 6.5$ km s$^{-1}$ from 14 to 27 km and $V_p = 6.8$ km s$^{-1}$ from 27 to 37 km depth. The upper mantle velocity is 8.3 km s$^{-1}$.

We deduced a mean $V_p/V_s$ of 1.72 from both the Wadati diagram and the Chatelain method (Chatelain, 1978).

More than 2000 earthquakes were located during the 6 months of the experiment, using records from by a minimum of 6 stations (Fig. 1A). About 80% are due to the Gelai crisis, described in the next section. Unfortunately, the majority of these events are outside the network and had a "hiding effect" on the micro-seismicity of the Manyara–Eyasi tectonic system, particularly in July and for the first two weeks of August. The mean absolute errors of the 2068 events plotted in Fig. 1A are $\pm 3.0$ km in latitude, $\pm 2.9$ km in longitude and $\pm 2.9$ km in depth (Fig. 3).

3.3. Hypocenter relocation

We have applied the double-difference algorithm (DD) of Waldhauser et al. (2000) to obtain precise relative locations for the Manyara sequence. The DD technique is based on the assumption that the difference of travel times between two sufficiently close earthquakes, recorded at a common station, is free of the unmodelled velocity structure effects. Travel-time differences for pairs of earthquakes are therefore directly inverted for event separation, allowing to determine accurate relative hypocenter locations.

As for the absolute location, the performance and the reliability of the relative location is limited by the data quality (Waldhauser et al., 2000). To be stable, it requires a sufficient number of differential arrival times and a favourable network configuration. For the Gelai sequence, its mean azimuthal coverage and nearest station distance are 234° and 58 km, respectively. Hence, this system is not stable enough and requires too large damping to get relevant results from DD relocation.

The case of Manyara sequence is more suitable for the DD relocation, with its mean azimuthal coverage and nearest station dis-
tance equal to 153° and 12 km, respectively. We used hypoDD with the singular value decomposition method and a re-weighting approach to relocate these events from the P and S arrival-time readings (Waldhauser et al., 2000; Waldhauser, 2001). To build up a network of well connected events, we have only considered pairs with corresponding phases observed at least at 8 common stations.

Fig. 4. Distribution of the Gelai (left) and Manyara (right) seismic sequences on maps (A and B) and 2D-cross-sections oriented parallel (C and D) and orthogonal (E and F) to the NE–SW axis of the active zones. G and H are the depth distribution of earthquakes each 2 km. On map (B) line A–A' roughly separates two alignments of epicenters striking in the same direction (see text for details). The seismicity in the Gelai region comes from the absolute location of 1418 events. The Manyara sequence was relocated with the double-difference algorithm (Waldhauser et al., 2000) and comprises 211 events. The focal mechanism of the main event on map (A) and cross-section E (Mw 5.9, Strike 241°, Dip 55°, Rake -85°).

The procedure has provided a relocated data set of 211 events with a mean relative error of 145 m in longitude, 177 m in latitude and 269 m in depth (Fig. 4B).

4. Two earthquake sequences captured by the SEISMO-TANZ’07 network

The local seismicity recorded in the NTD in 2007 is heterogeneously distributed. Three small areas, aligned in the direction of the Kenya Rift (N–S) undergo a high level of seismicity compared to some wide faulted and volcanic regions (Eyasi and Pangani arms, E–W volcanic transverse belt, Fig. 1A). The aim of this section is to characterize and compare the two earthquake sequences captured in the Natron–Gelai and Manyara areas (rectangles in Fig. 1A) by our seismological network in order to highlight different deformation processes occurring at distance less than 150 km. Indeed, their characteristics, in terms of spatial and time distribution, frequency characteristics of the waveforms and depth distribution of earthquakes, present differences that could be related to their origin: aseismic (slow slip and dyke intrusion) in the case of the Gelai sequence (Calais et al., 2008; Baer et al., 2008) and probably purely tectonic in the case of Manyara.

4.1. The Gelai sequence

4.1.1. Spatial and time distribution

This sequence represents more than 1200 earthquakes located at the limit between the Kenya rift and the NTD, on the southern side of the Gelai volcano (Figs. 1A and 4A). Before July 12, no seismicity was detected in this region by our network, except under the Oldoinyo Lengai volcano (Figs. 4–6). The seismicity rate is maximum on July 17, when the major event of the sequence occurred (Mw 5.9; 14:10, UTC) but remains important in August and September (Fig. 5). Less than two events per day were recorded when most of the stations were removed in November. However, two earthquakes of Mw 5.3 and Mw 4.7 occurred in December, showing that the crisis was still going on. The decrease of the seismic rate is not clearly comparable to a mainshock–aftershock sequence as described by Mogi (1963), with peaks of seismicity before and after the largest shock (Fig. 5). It is neither a classically defined swarm because of the occurrence of a large event (Mogi, 1963; Benoit and McNutt, 1996).

The earthquakes depict an elongated shape in the N–E direction that rotates clockwise from N30°E to N60°E from mid-August (Fig. 4A). Under Gelai, depth of earthquakes decreases to
the N–E, from about 13 km to the surface (Fig. 4C). The maximum depth frequency is between 5 and 10 km (Fig. 4G).

The time distribution of the earthquakes in the N60°E direction reveals three major earthquake concentrations under the Gelai region (Fig. 6). From July 12 to 14, seismicity is limited to the southwestern end of the entire cluster (annotated (a) in Fig. 6) and propagates upward for ~10–15 km in the N–E direction between July 14 and 17, before the occurrence of the main event (black arrow and white star in Fig. 6). Then, two distinct areas of seismicity appear with the southern one more active till the beginning of August (annotated (b) and (c) in Fig. 6). The combined analysis of data from the seismicity recorded in July, global positioning system (GPS), radar interferometry and field observations, has shown that most of the strain recorded during the main crisis period was released aseismically (Calais et al., 2008). Indeed, slow slip occurred on a NW-dipping normal fault from July 12 to 17 which then led to the triggering of a magmatic intrusion by static stress change (Calais et al., 2008).

From mid-August, the interferograms reveal surface deformation on the eastern and southern sides of the Gelai volcano (see Fig. 4F and g in Baer et al., 2008). The location of the seismicity could be correlated to the three southern fault structures but not to the modelled N–W dyke segment inferred by Baer et al. (2008) for the time period.

4.1.2. Magnitude and focal mechanism

The focal mechanism of the main event that occurred on July 17 (Mw 5.9) indicates normal faulting (Calais et al., 2008, Fig. 4A and E). It was preceded by two earthquakes of Mw 5.3 and 5.4 two days before, then followed by one earthquake of Mw 5.3 four hours later and by two of Mw 5.2 on July 18 and 26. The majority of the local magnitudes inferred from the coda picking ranges between 3.5 and 4, out of the limit of validation of the Lee formula (Lee et al., 1972, see Section 3.2).

4.1.3. Waveforms and spectrograms

We have recorded two different types of earthquakes during the Gelai crisis, as shown by the two characteristic spectrograms at station KRTU (Fig. 7A and B). With a predominant frequency content of 4–12 Hz, event A is comparable to a tectonic event, called volcanic-tectonic by Chouet (1996) considering the magmatotectonic nature of the seismic crisis (Calais et al., 2008). Event B presents more similarities with an hybrid event (Chouet, 1996; Power et al., 2002), with a maximum of energy released in the 1–6 Hz range and a coda frequency peaked around 2 Hz.

4.1.4. Activity of the Oldoinyo Lengai volcano

From June 18, a renewal of effusive activity was observed at the nearby carbonatitic Oldoinyo Lengai (Vaughan et al., 2008). More intense lava flows in August–September were reported, preceding an explosive eruption on September 4 (Vaughan et al., 2008). Seismic activity under the volcano was recorded since the beginning of the experiment. The earthquakes are arranged into two vertically separate swarms, at around 5 and 13 km depth (Fig. 4C). The deepest swarm is likely correlated to the second deep magma chamber proposed by Baer et al. (2008). The explosive eruption of the volcano is well illustrated by a concomitant increase of the number of earthquakes (Fig. 5), migrating eastward (Figs. 5 and 6). At the same time, the seismic activity is still important under Gelai. The earthquakes under the two volcanoes depict two distinct clusters separated from ~5 km. However, there is a geometrical connection between their depth distribution: the deepest cluster below Lengai is indeed at the junction between a vertical axis below Lengai and the SW-dipping line drawn by the Gelai sequence (Fig. 4C).

4.2. The Manyara earthquake sequence

4.2.1. Spatial and time distribution

The southern end of Lake Manyara is the second region where we have recorded a large amount of earthquakes. It coincides with the limit between two main normal faults, the segmented end of the ~N10° East-dipping Manyara fault and the ~N50° SE-dipping Balangida fault (Figs. 1 and 4B). With 0–8 earthquakes per day, the seismic rate is continuous during the period of recording (Fig. 5). It is indeed a long-lasting activity, already observed 12 years ago by Nyblade et al. (1996) with a 1 year seismic regional experiment in 1994–1995 (Fig. 2).

The sequence comprises 211 well-located epicenters forming two elongated clusters, striking roughly N60°E (Fig. 4B). Most of the events are clustered between 24 and 32 km depth and absent above 15 km, in the upper crust. The earthquakes are arranged more or less vertically, with the northern cluster deeper than the

![Fig. 7. Seismograms (vertical component) of 3 events in the Gelai (A and B at 87 km of epicentral distance and depth of 5.6 km) and Manyara (C at 47 km of epicentral distance and depth of 26.1 km) regions recorded at station KRTU. The spectrograms represent the Power Spectral Density (PSD) of each seismogram. The PSD scale is independent for each event. Events A and B (M, 3.6 and 4.1, respectively) are characterized by a long decay in time of the energy after the S-arrival which can be explained by the shallow depth. A shift in frequency from event A to B is observed with quite low frequencies in the coda for event B. Body waves and associated coda shape dominate event C record (M, 3.3).](image)
4.2.2. Magnitude and b-value

No large historical earthquakes have been identified in the locus where the sequence has occurred. Local magnitudes, inferred from coda picking, vary from 1.6 to 3.6, but most of them range between 2.5 and 3. The b-value estimated with the Maximum Likelihood method is 1.48 (Aki, 1965). Considering the limited magnitude range used here, it is not well constrained enough to be accurately interpreted.

4.2.3. Waveforms and spectrograms

All the earthquakes recorded show impulsive P and S phases and their high spectral content of $f \geq 15$ Hz is typical of a tectonic event (Fig. 7C).

4.3. Conclusion on the Gelai and Manyara sequences

From the above descriptions, the Gelai and Manyara sequences present major differences regarding:

- the depth frequency distribution of earthquakes: maximal at $5\sim10$ km for Gelai and $24\sim32$ km for Manyara;
- the variation of the seismicity rate: increase and decrease for Gelai and continuous for Manyara;
- the relative time-distribution of epicenters and hypocenters: migration NE for Gelai and stationary for Manyara;
- the presence of a large event: $M_w$ 5.9 at Gelai and none at Manyara;
- the occurrence of another type of natural phenomenon in the vicinity of the sequences: the eruption of the Oldoinyo Lengai volcano for Gelai and none for Manyara.

There is however one noticeable similitude between them: the long-axis of their elongate cluster around $N 60^\circ E$, comparable to the $M_w$ 5.9 event of July 17, 2007.

None of these two sequences presents the “swarm” or “mainshock–aftershock” patterns (Mogi, 1963), with a hybrid case at Gelai and a long-lasting-cluster type at Manyara. Separate processes are thus assumed to have triggered these sequences. In comparison with the aseismic deformation at Gelai, the Manyara sequence looks like background seismicity related to a long-term process.

5. Inferences on the crustal rheology

5.1. Depth distribution of earthquakes recorded

Depth frequency distribution of earthquakes has often been used to investigate thermo-mechanical properties of the crust (Meissner and Strehlau, 1982; Maggi et al., 2000; Déverchère et al., 2001). Indeed, earthquakes are not equally distributed in the crust: their proportion increases and decreases around one main peak of seismicity. In the case of well-located and large enough data sets, the latter can be correlated to the upper limit of the brittle-to-ductile transition (BDT) (Albaric et al., 2009, and references therein).

In the EARS, Albaric et al. (2009) have examined the depth frequency distribution of earthquakes from various catalogues of seismicity, and have shown relative variations along the Eastern Branch of the rift with a peak of seismicity deeper from N to S. However, their results were limited by the small amount of data in the NTD and their relative inaccuracy.

From our new data set we observe the same tendency: depth distribution of the Gelai and Manyara sequences are completely different, with a maximum of seismicity in the upper and lower parts of the crust, respectively. Gelai region is just at the limit between the narrow Kenya rift and the NTD, and the distribution of earthquakes in the crust is comparable to the swarm observed by Ibs-von Seht et al. (2001) just a few hundred kilometers north, below Lake Magadi (Fig. 2), and to the seismicity recorded at Lake Bogoria in 1985 (Young et al., 1991), with most of hypocenters above 10–15 km (Fig. 4G).

Hypocenters are above 15 km depth under the volcanic transverse belt and below 20 km, for most of them, south of $3.3^\circ S$ between the lakes Eyasi and Manyara (Mbulu domain) (Fig. 1A and Fig. 8). Deep crustal earthquakes have already been evidenced (Shudofsky et al., 1987; Seno and Saito, 1994; Foster and Jackson, 1998; Brazier et al., 2005), but more as isolated cases while it concerns here the majority of the events.

5.2. Computation of a yield stress envelope

Comparing depth frequency distribution of earthquakes with stress changes in the crust supposes that distribution is representative to the long-term strength of the crust (Déverchère et al., 2001). In order to model a yield stress envelope (YSE (MPa)), the
procedure applied here consists in adjusting crustal physical composition parameters to fit strength percentage (YSE (%)) with known focal distribution of earthquakes (Déverchère et al., 2001; Albaric et al., 2009). The YSE is computed with empirical deformation laws describing the brittle (Eq. (1)) and ductile (Eq. (2)) behaviour of the lithosphere (Sibson, 1974; Ranalli, 1995):

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_1 - \sigma_3(z) &= \alpha \rho g z (1 - \lambda) \\
\sigma_1 - \sigma_3(z) &= \frac{\epsilon}{\lambda} \left( \frac{z}{A} \right)^{1/\lambda},
\end{align*}
\]

\(\sigma_1, \sigma_3\) are the maximum and minimum normal principal stress (MPa), respectively. \(\lambda\) is a factor depending on fault type equal to 0.5 in the case of normal faulting (Ranalli, 1995). \(\lambda\) is the ratio of yield stress to overburden pressure (0.4 for the EARS, Fadaie and Ranalli, 1990 and references therein), \(g\) the acceleration due to gravity and \(z\) the depth (km). \(\epsilon\) is the steady-state strain rate (10\(^{-13}\) s\(^{-1}\) from, Ebinger, 1989), \(T(z)\) the temperature (K) and \(R\) the gas constant. A steady-state geotherm \(T(z)\) is computed (Turcotte and Schubert, 2002) for a surface heat flow of 46 mW m\(^{-2}\) (Nyblade, 1997) and \(T(0) = 0^\circ C\). Density \(\rho\) (kg m\(^{-3}\)), constant \(A^\prime\) (MPa\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)), activation energy \(E\) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) and power law exponent \(n\), are material parameters from Ranalli (1997), Turcotte and Schubert (2002) and Afonso and Ranalli (2004).

By varying the composition and thickness of crustal layers we obtain the YSE (MPa) and calculate the strength percentage YSE (%) each 2 km and compare it with the earthquakes distribution at same depth. We took the average value of 40 km for the crustal thickness (Last et al., 1997) in order to compare our results with modelling made by Albaric et al. (2009).

The earthquakes selected for the modelling comprise the relocated Manyara sequence and events located in the NTD with gap \(\leq 180^\circ\) and error on depth \(\leq 2\) km (Fig. 8). Because the Manyara sequence seems to be related to a long-term phenomenon and does not include large events, no declustering was involved.

Best fit between YSE (%) and percentage of earthquakes, given the panel of composition used, is obtained for a crust composed of 20 km of granite and 20 km of wet-diabase in its lower part (Fig. 9A). The envelope (YSE (MPa)) is comparable with the one inferred by Albaric et al. (2009) in the same region (Fig. 9B).

### 6. Discussion

During the period of the SEISMO-TANZ'07 seismological experiment, we have recorded a diffuse seismicity in the North Tanzanian Divergence (NTD) and two major earthquake sequences, called here Gelai and Manyara, located at the northern limit in and the NTD, respectively (Fig. 1A). Although occurring in two distinct rift settings, these sequences display common geometrical features and also contrasted patterns that open issues regarding structures and geodynamics of the EARS, for instance strain/magmatism relationships, structural inheritance, and rheological control on deformation. We highlight and discuss here the main preliminary consequences of these patterns of seismicity with respect to the EARS structure and dynamics and to some other seismicity sequences in the world.

#### 6.1. Structural implications

The two seismic sequences clearly draw in map view a ca. 10\(\times\)20 km elliptic-shaped zone, with a long axis oriented approximately NE–SW. Therefore the corresponding magmatic and/or fault active structures appear to trend obliquely to the general N–S trend of the rift axis (Fig. 1). This direction is also found in the strike of the largest earthquake recorded during the Gelai crisis, where the combined analysis of three types of geophysical data has highlighted a fault plane striking NE–SW, dipping 60\(^\circ\) to the N–W, at depths from 2 to 14 km (Calais et al., 2008). No direct fault-induced scarp is associated to the faulting event at the surface. At a larger scale, the structural sketch map of Fig. 1 suggests that the Gelai sequence lies at the NE end of a major NE–SW transverse rift structure, the so-called Eyasi-Gelai lineament, running over 400 km from the Eyasi master fault tip zone to the Ngorongoro-Gelai volcanic alignment. The Manyara seismic sequence also outlines a NE–SW strike close to the southern shoreline of Lake Manyara, still without any significant topographic expression. The detailed map distribution of the Manyara seismicity shows two parallel narrow strips of earthquakes, striking N60\(^\circ\)E, with a spacing of a few kilometers, and displaying respective lengths of 20 km (northern one) and 10 km (southern one) (Fig. 10A). On the cross-section perpendicular to this direction they form two discrete vertical structures cutting the crust in the depth range 22–30 km (south) and 27–38 km (north) (Fig. 10B).

With respect to the Manyara–Balangida extensional linked fault system, the Manyara earthquakes occur in the immediate hanging-wall domain, north of the relay zone between the highly segmented N10\(^\circ\)-striking Manyara fault structure to the N, and the more linear N50\(^\circ\)-oriented Balangida fault structure to the S (Fig. 10A). Because of such a specific location, two alternative structural models can be proposed about the origin of the Manyara earthquakes with respect to rift versus basement fabrics.

The first hypothesis implies that the Manyara exposed fault scarp should connect at depth to the hypocenter zone with two possible fault trajectories (Fig. 10C). (1) The downward-projected extent of the Manyara fault into the discrete vertical seismic structures results in an unlikely fault profile, steepening at depth from ca. 65\(^\circ\) to 80\(^\circ\) (Fig. 10C, planar fault profile). (2) Assuming that the clusters are part of a thick infracrustal extensional detachment along which the Manyara master fault could root at depth implies low-angle seismically active shear zones with displacement top-to-the-east (Fig. 10C, listric fault profile). The latter are not consistent with the steep attitude of the clusters (Fig. 10A). In both cases,
the lack of earthquakes through the 20 km of crustal section cut by
the uppermost part of the inferred fault plane is not a typical char-
acter of seismogenic fault structures.
Lastly, in map view, the high angle of obliquity (30–40°) be-
tween the surface trace of the fault and the projected seismic lin-
eaments should imply that two en echelon fault segments
formed at depth along a ca. NS-trending dextral shear zone joining
the Manyara fault at the surface. However, this hypothesis is not
supported by kinematic and geophysical data about the Manyara
bounding fault structure that both suggest a dominant dip-slip mo-
tion (Ebinger et al., 1997; Le Gall et al., 2008). According to the sec-
ond hypothesis, the Manyara earthquake sequence should occur
along steeply-dipping rejuvenated basement structures that ex-
tend in the NE prolongation of NE–SW-oriented lineal structures,
either cutting through the Balangida basement footwall block, or
forming fault segments of the Balangida bounding fault (Fig. 10).
A number of these pre-rift structures might have formed as Late
Proterozoic shear zones post-dating ductile fabrics in the Mozam-
bique frontal belt during the late collisional stage at 630–440 Ma,
similarly to parallel dextral shear zones documented further south
in the Proterozoic basement of the Lake Malawi rift (Ring, 1994).
The overall pattern of NE–SW dextral shear zones is likely to have
developed as conjugate structures, synchronously to the promi-
nent NW–SE left-lateral shear zones of ASWA-type, known to have
locally guided the geometry of rifting in Kenya (Berhe, 1990; Smith
and Mosley, 1993; Coussement, 1995). A similar pattern of earth-
quake sequence located at the crosscut of inherited structures
guiding the rift opening is found in the north Baikal rift
(Déverchère et al., 1993). The absence of seismicity along the
Balangida fault would imply that only the deepest part of the Late
Proterozoic shear zones have been seismically reactivated.

6.2. Triggering mechanisms of sequences and comparison to other
sequences
The two earthquake sequences, Gelai and Manyara, captured in
2007 are only at ~150 km distance and do not depict obvious ge-
netic links (Fig. 4). Except their elongated shape in the same direc-
tion, they are mostly characterized by contrasted differences (in
depth, time and space distributions, frequency content, magni-
tudes, see Figs. 4–7). We therefore anticipate that they may have
distinct origins that we attempt here to clarify by comparing
briefly these sequences to other ones.
The pattern observed at Gelai indicates neither a swarm-like
nor a mainshock–aftershock sequence, as also reported in many
other seismo-magmatic settings (e.g., Sherburn, 1992; Ukawa
and Tsukahara, 1996; Toda et al., 2002; Hurst et al., 2008). It is
rather a continuum between swarms and mainshocks, with highly
variable time patterns. The Gelai crisis was identified as a sequence
including slow slip on normal fault and dyking, instruments of the strain accommodation in continental rifting (Calais
et al., 2008). In a comparable study in Afar, deformation observed
in a rift segment has been explained by the injection of two lateral
dykes, thanks to the analysis of seismic, geodetic and field data sets
(Keir et al., 2009). The Afar and Gelai events are both dominated by
aseismic processes. However, there was no slow slip in the case of
the Afar. The dyke dimensions modelled in the two studies are
comparable (about 10 km long and 2 m wide). Difference in the
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6.3. Rheological implications

Another important result is the influence of rheology on the depth level of seismicity peaks. The sensitivity of depth distribution of earthquakes to thermal gradients and composition of the crust is demonstrated since long, especially in the EARS (Shudofsky et al., 1987; Foster and Jackson, 1998; Albaric et al., 2009). From these studies, it appears that the presence of a mafic lower crust and the level of thermal disturbance by Cenozoic rifting both play a key role on the seismicity depth distribution. We lack accuracy on hypocenters in the Gelai sequence to discuss the depth distribution in terms of rheology. We note however that the depth range of events in Gelai (Fig. 4G) is similar to the one found in the 1985 Lake Bogoria sequence (Young et al., 1991). Both curves tend to depict a unique shallow peak of seismicity, revealing a “weak” strength profile characterized by a brittle-ductile transition (BDT) beginning at 10 km depth and a maximum strength of only 120 MPa, and no strength in the lower crust (Albaric et al., 2009). Earthquakes located in the NTD (coming mostly from the Manyara sequence) provide a more favourable database in this respect because the SEISMO-TANZ’07 network is ideally disposed to constrain depths and because it represents a long-lasting sequence, supposed to “illuminate” the BDTs and zones of high strength more accurately. The events located in the Mbulu area depict a pattern that requires a strong, mafic lower crust, a granite-type weak upper crust, and a rather “cold” geotherm (Figs. 8, 9A and B). The poor fit in amplitude for the deepest peak (Fig. 9A) can be explained by the fact that the Manyara sequence over samples the lower crust seismicity compared to the upper crust one in this time window. These results confirm both the strength increase of the crust from Kenya to the south of the NTD and the mafic composition of the lower crust inferred by Albaric et al. (2009). In addition, this mafic composition is consistent with the high velocities of the deepest part of the lower crust observed in south Kenya by seismic refractions studies (Birt et al., 1997).

A westward deep upper mantle anomaly has been identified from Ethiopia to Tanzania (Ritsma et al., 1998; Benoit et al., 2006; Park and Nyblade, 2006). However, the contrast in the volcanic activity between the Gelai and the Manyara regions and the depth of the BDT suggest that some parts of the contact of the Tanzanian craton to the Proterozoic belts may be yet preserved from the thermal disturbance in the deep upper mantle.

7. Conclusion

The Gelai and Manyara earthquake sequences (eastern branch of the EARS, southern tip) emphasize the preferred locus of active strain release along NE–SW discontinuities which probably root at depth into steep Proterozoic shear zones. Zones of maximal strength are dependent both on this inherited fabrics and on the thermal disturbances induced by the Cenozoic rifting, explaining the contrasted depths of strain focusing. We therefore find that a strong structural control is exerted both at local and large scales by the basement on the overall rift propagation path throughout the NTD (Le Gall et al., 2004; Macheyeki et al., 2008). This discontinuous belt of active strain has been recently assimilated to the Victoria-Somalia micro-plate boundary (Calais et al., 2006; Stamps et al., 2008).

The NTD is characterized by a deep seismic activity, with about 10 years-lasting sequence in the region of Manyara. Cold geotherm computed with low surface heat flow observed in the region (Nyblade, 1997) and mafic lithologies are required to model a yield
stress envelope from the depth frequency distribution of earthquakes observed in the Mbulo domain (Eyasi-Manyara). Our results also confirm the spectral increase in the strength of the lower crust from south Kenya to NTD (Albaric et al., 2009).

The comparison between the two earthquake sequences underlines different deformation processes at ~150 km distance. The Ge- lai crisis is dominated by aseismic processes (Calais et al., 2008) but probably also influenced by classic normal faulting and struc- tural inheritance. Spatial and temporal correlations are observed between the seismicity under the active Oldoinyo Lengai and the Gelai volcanoes. The Manyara sequence does not depict the typical pattern of a seismic crisis but seems related to a long-term process maybe controlled by stress loading transmitted laterally. Episodic magmatic intrusions, though not recorded, might occur and favour a high strength lower crust.
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